Ports of Mackay and Hay Point Ambient Coral Monitoring Surveys: 2016-2017





SEA RESEARCH

BN 2400509

MARINE BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH, SURVEY AND PHOTOGRAPHIC

ABN 51 594 242 720

20 RATTRAY AVE, HYDEAWAY BAY, QLD. 4800, AUSTRALIA TELEPHONE: 0409 666 655

Website: www.searesearch.com.au email: tony.ayling@gmail.com

CONTENTS

FIGURES

Executive Summary

Coral monitoring sites were set up on four locations in the vicinity of the Ports of Mackay and Hay Point prior to the 2006 Hay Point capital dredging program. These locations were Round Top Island, Victor Islet, Slade Islet and Keswick Island. Six sites of four permanently marked 20 m survey transects were set up at each location in the depth stratum of highest coral cover. Measures of benthic cover, coral health, sedimentation and coral recruitment were made along each transect. Surveys of these parameters were made in at least three of these locations on 12 occasions between the April 2006 baseline and September 2013. Starting in March 2015 North Queensland Bulk Ports instigated an ambient coral monitoring program in order to gain a greater understanding of ambient conditions, and the drivers of these conditions, which would also allow for a greater capacity to manage potential influences during periods of Port related activities such as dredging. Advisian, in association with Sea Research, carried out these ambient surveys at all four locations on three occasions: March 2015, November 2015 and May 2016. Sea Research was asked to continue this program in 2016/2017, making surveys in January and August 2017.

Hard coral cover during the 2006 baseline ranged from 20% on Keswick Island, to 25% on Victor Islet, to 33% on Round Top Island and was highest at 41% on Slade Islet. Macroalgae were also important in the benthic communities at some of these locations during the baseline survey with <1% cover at Round Top Island, 5% on Slade Islet, 22% on Victor Islet and 33% on Keswick Island. Soft corals were not common, ranging from 2% cover on Slade Islet to a high of 9% on Keswick Island. Sponges were rare covering only 0.8-2.1% of the substratum.

Four extreme cyclonic weather events impacted these locations between 2010 and 2015, reducing hard coral cover from 41% to 27% on Slade Islet and from 33% to 23% on Round Top Island. Hard coral cover was not affected on Victor Islet, remaining around 25%, and increased nominally on Keswick Island from 20% to 23%. Sponge cover and soft coral cover remained similar over this time period but macroalgae increased markedly at all locations: from <1% to 24% on Round Top Island, from 5% to 18% on Slade Islet, from 22% to 29% on Victor Islet and from 33% to over 40% on Keswick Island.

Over the first three ambient surveys (March 2015 – May 2016) coral cover remained similar at the three inshore locations. Some sites were changed on Keswick Island compared with pre-2015 surveys and coral cover increased at this location from 29% in March 2015 to 34% in May 2016. Sponges and soft corals remained similar during this period except for soft corals on Round Top Island which increased to 11% cover, up from 5% during the 2006 baseline. Macroalgal cover fluctuated but remained high at all four locations during this period.

The inshore survey locations were severely impacted by Cyclone Debbie in late March 2017, between the most recent two ambient surveys. Extensive physical damage caused coral cover to drop from 23% to 20% on Round Top Island, from 25% to 18% on Victor Islet and from 29% to 19% on Slade Islet but stay the same on Keswick Island at around 31%. The mean relative decrease in hard coral cover on the three inshore locations since the 2006 baseline has been 40% (from 33% down to less than 20% coral cover). Sponge cover and soft coral cover also both decreased slightly following Cyclone Debbie. Macroalgal cover decreased markedly with many plants physically torn from the substratum. Mean cover decreased from 26% to 22% on Round Top Island, from 40% down to 28% on Victor Islet, from 33% down to 25% on Slade Islet and from 40% down to 27% on Keswick Island. These reduced levels were still well above those recorded during the 2006 baseline at all the inshore locations.

Coral community composition was different at the four locations. At Round Top Island *Turbinaria* corals were dominant, accounting for almost 40% of hard coral cover, with *Montipora* and siderastreids also important. At Victor Islet *Montipora* corals were dominant (40% of coral cover), with *Turbinaria* and faviids also important. At Slade Islet *Montipora* corals were dominant, accounting for 52% of coral cover. At Keswick Island *Acropora* corals were dominant (39% of cover), with *Montipora* and poritids also important. There have only been slight changes in coral community composition over the more than a decade covered by these surveys, with decreases in the percentage of *Acropora* corals in the inshore locations.

Sediment levels on living hard corals were low during the 2006 baseline with less than 4% of coral colonies having any surface sediment and a mean sediment depth of only 0.05 mm. These sediment levels have fluctuated by more than an order of magnitude over subsequent surveys with increases associated either with natural sediment resuspension events, such as cyclones and other strong wind episodes, or with large dredging events. Peak levels have been around 30% of corals affected and sediment depths of around 1 mm. Sediment deposition on living coral colonies can cause patches of mortality with over 5% of coral colonies affected in severe events but coral cover reductions have been much less than 1% and are rarely significant. Physical damage to corals from cyclonic events is more than an order of magnitude higher than any sedimentation damage.

Coral bleaching has affected corals in these locations on a number of occasions over the past decade but has never affected more than about 1-3% of hard coral colonies and has caused no measurable changes to coral cover.

Disease sometimes affects hard coral colonies and may cause partial or occasionally total mortality. Only 1-2% of coral colonies are affected at any one time and disease levels are usually higher in summer when the water is warmer and lower during the winter months. A disease outbreak during the 2006 summer caused a slight but significant reduction in coral cover at all locations but the impact of disease on hard corals is an order of magnitude less than physical cyclone damage.

Coral cover has increased significantly at the offshore Keswick Island location and macroalgal cover has been relatively stable. However, there have been marked and significant changes in benthic cover at all three inshore locations over the more than a decade covered by these surveys. The cover of macroalgae has increased from a mean of 9% cover in 2006 to a peak of 37% prior to Cyclone Debbie and 25% following the cyclone. Physical cyclone damage has been the major impact on hard coral cover at these inshore locations, with all other impacts usually slight or non-significant. These changes in macroalgal and hard coral cover are apparently largely due to natural causes but it could be argued that they are partly due to nutrient increases and global warming that are human related. Unless rates of coral recovery improve over what has previously been measured during inter-cyclone periods in this region, or cyclone events become less frequent, it is unlikely that these inshore locations will regain baseline coral condition in the near future. Recovery times are estimated to be 3-6 years given best-case recovery scenarios and no further impacts.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The Port of Mackay, located on the Queensland coast five kilometres north of Mackay City, is a multi-commodity port servicing the sugar, mining and grain industries. The Port of Hay Point is situated approximately 20 kilometres south of Mackay. Hay Point is one of the largest coal export ports in the world. North Queensland Bulk Ports Corporation Limited (NQBP) is the port authority and port manager for both these ports under the*Transport Infrastructure Act 1994* (TI Act). The functions of NQBP as a port authority include establishing effective and efficient port facilities and services in its ports and making land available for the establishment, management and operation of port facilities in its ports by other persons.

Mackay Port's throughput tonnage for 2016-2017 financial year was almost 3 million tonnes. The current export capacity through both existing coal terminals in the Port of Hay Point is approximately 106 Mtpa. NQBP's vision is to maintain the Port of Hay Point as one of Queensland's premier bulk materials handling ports, providing cost-efficient export facilities, to service coal mines in the central Queensland coal fields.

Beginning in 2006 extensive coral monitoring has been undertaken for NQBP (previously known as PCQ) at key locations surrounding the Ports of Hay Point and Mackay (Figure 1-11): Round Top Island (Figure 1-2), Victor Islet (Figure 1-3), Slade Islet (Figure 1-4) and Keswick Island (Figure 1-5). These previous investigations were focused around port dredging activities and, whilst some temporary increase in sedimentation was identified, there was minimal overall recorded impact as a result of this (<1% coral cover loss). The development of this ambient coral monitoring program was triggered in order to gain a greater understanding of ambient conditions and the drivers of these conditions which would also allow for a greater capacity to manage potential influences during periods of Port related activities. Advisian (formerly WorleyParsons), in association with Sea Research, conducted surveys at all established monitoring locations in March 2015, November 2015 and May 2016. Sea Research were asked to continue these ambient surveys with two surveys of these four locations during the 2016/2017 period.

1.2 Objectives of Survey

NQBP proposed relating surveys to the seasons, with the first survey being in the Spring, pre-wet season period and the second in the late Autumn post-wet season period. This ensured that surveys were made before and immediately after the period of maximum likely natural impacts, whether floods, cyclones or bleaching, enabling the causes of any benthic changes to be established reliably. The same sites that had been set up for the original capital dredging monitoring program in 2006 and in subsequent monitoring programs, were relocated and repaired to be used during this ambient monitoring project. On Keswick Island two of the original four Horseshoe Bay sites were relocated to Homestead Bay on adjacent St Bees Island. Coral communities in Homestead Bay were rich *Acropora* dominated reefs and were included to increase the range of habitat types incorporated in the Keswick Island surveys. Surveys considered:

- Diversity and abundance of benthic communities;
- Percentage coral bleaching;
- Percentage coral mortality;

- Rates of sediment deposition on corals; and,
- Rates of coral recruitment.

This report documents the findings of the most recent two ambient surveys at all four locations and makes comparisons with the results from all previous surveys.

Figure 1-1 – Location of the Port of Mackay (Mackay Harbour), the Port of Hay Point and the Coral Monitoring Locations



Figure 1-2 Round Top Island Location Showing Position of the Six Coral Monitoring Sites

Figure 1-3 Victor Islet Location Showing Position of the Six Coral Monitoring Sites



Figure 1-4 Slade Islet Location Showing Position of the Six Coral Monitoring Sites

Figure 1-5 Keswick/St Bees Island Location Showing Position of the Six Coral Monitoring Sites

2. METHODS

2.1 Locations

Fringing reefs were surveyed around four island locations (Figure 1-1). Two near-shore islands close to the Port of Hay Point were incorporated (Round Top Island and Victor Islet), along with another inshore island (Slade Islet) 18 km north of the Port of Hay Point and directly adjacent to the Port of Mackay and one offshore island location (Keswick/St Bees Island), 40 km NNE of the Port of Mackay.

Table 2-1 GPS coordinates of each monitoring site.

Location	Ambient monitoring site ID	Historical site ID	Latitude	Longitude
Keswick Island	S1	Horseshoe site 1	-20.9150	149.4185
Keswick Island	S2	Horseshoe site 2	-20.9132	149.4171
Keswick/St Bees Island	S3	Homestead site 1	-20.9303	149.4280
Keswick/St Bees Island	S4	Homestead site 2	-20.9290	149.4280
Keswick Island	S5	Basil/Arthur site 1	-20.9245	149.4102
Keswick Island	S6	Basil/Arthur site 2	-20.9243	149.4120
Slade Islet	S1	S1	-21.0989	149.2440
Slade Islet	S2	S2	-21.0988	149.2450
Slade Islet	S3	S3	-21.0962	149.2440
Slade Islet	S4	S4	-21.0961	149.2431
Slade Islet	S5	S5	-21.0966	149.2450
Slade Islet	S6	S6	-21.0994	149.2459
Round Top Island	S1	S1	-21.1699	149.2656
Round Top Island	S2	S2	-21.1715	149.2636
Round Top Island	S3	S3	-21.1702	149.2668
Round Top Island	S4	S4	-21.1719	149.2675
Round Top Island	S5	S5	-21.1749	149.2689
Round Top Island	S6	S6	-21.1769	149.2665
Victor Islet	S1	S1	-21.3189	149.3244
Victor Islet	S2	S2	-21.3223	149.3267
Victor Islet	S3	S3	-21.3232	149.3276
Victor Islet	S4	S4	-21.3246	149.3284
Victor Islet	S5	S5	-21.3197	149.3215
Victor Islet	S6	S6	-21.3223	149.3191

Six monitoring sites were previously established at each location at the start of the 2006 capital dredging monitoring program (Figure 1-2, Figure 1-3, Figure 1.4, Figure 1-5) and these were maintained and used for several more monitoring programs since 2006 (Table 2-2). As described previously these monitoring sites were maintained and/or relocated for the present ambient coral monitoring program. On Keswick Island two of the four Horseshoe Bay sites used previously were relocated to Homestead Bay on nearby St Bees Island. Coral communities in Homestead Bay were rich *Acropora* dominated reefs and were included to increase the range of habitat types incorporated in the Keswick Island surveys.

Table 2-2 Summary of All Coral Surveys Made at the Four Hay Point Survey Locations.

Survey date:	Round Top	Victor	Slade	Keswick
Apr 2006	X	X	X	X
Jul 2006	X	X	X	X
Sep 2006	X	X	X	X
Nov 2006	X	X	X	X
Apr 2007	X	X	X	X
May 2008	X	X	X	
Aug 2008	X	X	X	
Aug 2010	X	X	X	
Nov 2010	X	X	X	
Feb 2012	X	X	X	
Jul 2013	X		X	
Sep 2013	X		X	
Mar 2015	X	X	X	X
Nov 2015	X	X	X	X
May 2016	X	X	X	X
Jan 2017*	X	X	X	X
May 2017*	1 site	3 sites		X
Aug 2017*	X	X	X	X

X indicates locations that were included during each survey. * Surveys covered by this report

2.2 Survey Period

This report provides a summary of coral conditions observed during two different surveys undertaken at all four reef locations over the period January 2017 to August 2017. The two survey periods were ‘pre-wet’, from 17-21 January 2017 and post-wet from 5-12 August 2017. In addition, a survey was made in May 2017 of all Keswick Island sites, one Round Top Island site and three Victor Islet sites.

The pre-wet survey was delayed from the ideal October/November time period due to late contractual signing and pre-existing commitments by Sea Research. However, the January survey was well prior to the major wet season event caused by Cyclone Debbie in late March 2017 and hence can still be considered pre-wet. The full post-wet survey was delayed due to very poor water conditions in the four months following Cyclone Debbie. Although surveys were made in May 2017 at Keswick Island and a few inshore sites, underwater visibility of less than a meter at all other inshore sites prevented completion of the full survey at this time despite the very calm weather conditions. Similar very turbid conditions were also encountered during reconnaissance visits in June and July prior to the successful full survey in August 2017. Similar turbid water conditions were evident during this period throughout the section of coast impacted by TC Debbie, including the Whitsunday Islands, Bowen and the Port of Abbott Point (A.M. Ayling personal observations).

During rough weather underwater visibility in the study areas can be zero due to high levels of turbidity. During the January pre-wet survey visibility ranged from 3-10 m. After the poor conditions in May, June and July very good conditions were finally encountered during the August post-wet survey with underwater visibility ranging from 5-12 m.

2.3 Benthic Line Intercept Surveys

Abundance surveys of the marine communities surrounding these islands were made at six sites around each island. At each site, cover of major benthic reef organisms was assessed by four 20 m, haphazardly positioned, line intercept transects run within a narrow depth stratum along about 50 m of reef. The depth range for the surveys at each site depended on the depth of the reef and the stratum where corals were most abundant and ranged from -0.5 m to -7 m below Lowest Astronomical Tide. The transects were permanently marked with 12 mm reinforcing rod stakes driven into the seabed at 5 m intervals.

These sites had been set up originally prior to the capital dredging baseline survey in 2006 but have been repaired where necessary during subsequent surveys. The sites on Keswick Island were not surveyed between 2007 and March 2015. All sites were re-located and repaired following Cyclone Debbie in August 2017. The marker stakes are remarkably resistant to cyclone waves and the majority of markers survived the cyclone although many of them were bent over or broken off near the base.

For each transect a survey tape was stretched tightly between the stakes close to the substratum and the length of intercept with the tape of all benthic organisms directly beneath it was measured. Intercept lengths for all colonies of a species or benthic group along each transect were totalled and converted to a percentage cover measurement. The following organisms or groups of organisms were recorded:

- Sand and mobile rubble;
- Macroalgae;
- Algal turf and crustose coralline algae;
- Sponges;
- All hard corals identified to genus level (or to growth form if more appropriate); and
- All soft corals.

These techniques have been used in many other surveys of fringing and offshore reefs in the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) region (Mapstone et al. 1989, Ayling and Ayling 1995, 2002, 2005). These methods align with the MMP methodologies thereby ensuring data collected under this ambient program is able to be compared to, and incorporated in, the broader State-wide mapping and reporting programs.

2.4 Sediment Deposition on Corals

Depth of sediment deposition (whether natural or dredge derived) was measured on 20 hard coral colonies haphazardly selected within a metre of each transect. If sediment was present on the colony surface the point of maximum sediment depth was measured in mm using a plastic ruler. Sediment usually only covered a portion of the colony surface and a single measurement of sediment depth was recorded where it was deepest.

2.5 Damaged, Diseased or Bleached Coral Colonies

Although line intercept transects give a good estimate of coral cover, the sample size of coral colonies immediately beneath the transect lines is not sufficient to encounter relatively rare events such as coral disease or sediment damage. To sample a wider area the following parameters were also measured along each transect line:

- Counts of bleached or partially bleached colonies along a 20 x 2 metre transect centred on each transect line were recorded for each of the major coral groups.
- Counts of all sediment damaged colonies along a 20 x 2 m transect centred on each transect line were recorded for each of the major hard coral groups. Colonies were not recorded as sediment damaged if there was an actively growing edge encroaching into an old sediment-smothered dead patch.
- Counts of all diseased coral colonies along a 20 x 2 m transect centred on each transect line were recorded for each of the major hard coral groups. As for sediment damage, if there was an actively growing edge reclaiming a disease-caused dead patch that colony was not recorded as diseased.
- Counts of all colonies damaged by sponge overgrowth or Drupella or crown-of-thorns grazing along the same 20 x 2 m transects.

2.6 Coral Demography

To get an indication of levels of coral recruitment in the study locations measures of coral demography were made during each of these surveys. The technique employed by the Australian Institute of Marine Science for their inshore reef surveys was used. Using this technique small corals within 30 cm of the shoreward side of each transect were recorded in three size categories: 0-2 cm diameter; 2-5 cm diameter; 5-10 cm diameter. The genus of each young coral was recorded and numbers were summed from all four transects at each site.

2.7 Analysis

Given the large amount of natural patchiness in the abundance of all marine organisms, and the variation in abundance changes through time within each patch, it is necessary to use statistical analysis to determine if any change is significant. The variation may be so high that what appears to be quite a large nominal change may not be a real change but just due to sampling the natural variation within the community differently. Analysis of variance techniques are usually used to determine the significance of any apparent changes in abundance between successive benthic surveys. The design of the benthic abundance surveys was established to enable a repeated measures analysis of variance after subsequent surveys (Table 2-2). Because the transects were fixed and the same bits of the benthic community were assessed during each survey a more powerful repeated measures analysis is appropriate in this case. This analysis tested the significance of changes in a number of factors that may have influenced benthic abundance.

1. The first factor was the four (or three) location ie to determine whether there were significant differences in benthic abundance among these locations?
2. The second factor was the six different sites surveyed at each location ie to determine whether there were significant differences in benthic abundance among the six sites within each location. Site is said to be nested within the location factor because site 1 at one location is not necessarily subject to the same influences as site 1 at the other locations e.g.

what affects each site is unique to that site. Nested factors are indicated with brackets e.g. Site (Location) indicates that the site factor is nested within the location factor.

3. The third factor in the analysis design was time ie to determine whether there were any significant changes in benthic abundance between successive surveys at the same sites.

Interactions between the three factors were also determined in the analysis. The most important test in the design was the interaction between Location and Time (indicated as Location x Time). If benthic abundance changes caused by ambient conditions are the same in each location then this interaction will not be significant but if benthic abundance decreases at one location and either does not change or increases at another location then the interaction may be significant, even though the mean coral cover may not have changed between the two surveys (the increase at one location could cancel out the decrease at another location and mean coral cover would stay the same).

Changes in sediment depth on coral colonies were tested for each location using a two factor analysis of variance. As sediment depth is measured on a different random selection of corals during each survey then repeated measures analysis is not appropriate. Two factor repeated measures analyses of variance were used to check the significance of changes in the density of damaged and diseased coral colonies in each location. In this case damaged and diseased colonies were assessed within the same transect area during each survey enabling the use of repeated measures analysis.

The significance of changes over the 15 months covered by the three most recent surveys of all four locations (May 2016, Jan 2017, Aug 2017) was tested with one series of anovas (Table 2-3) but another series of analyses were used to establish the significance of any benthic or coral damage changes over all the surveys that have been carried out at the three inshore locations since April 2006 (Table 2-4).

Table 2-3 Repeated Measures Benthic Cover Analysis of Variance Design for Determination of Significance of Differences Between the three Ambient Surveys at Four Locations (df = degrees of freedom)

Source of variation	df	Denominator
Between Transects:		
Location	3	Error (transects)
Site (location)	20	Error (transects)
Error (transects)	72	
Within Transects:		
Time	2	Error (transects x Time)
Location x Time	6	Error (transects x Time)
Site (location) x Time	40	Error (transects x Time)
Error (transects x Time)	144	

Table 2-4 Repeated Measures Benthic Cover Analysis of Variance Design for Determination of Significance of Differences Between All Fifteen Surveys of the Three Inshore Locations (df = degrees of freedom)

Source of variation	df	Denominator
Between Transects:		
Location	2	Error (transects)
Site (location)	15	Error (transects)

Error (transects)	54	
Within Transects:		
Time	14	Error (transects x Time)
Location x Time	28	Error (transects x Time)
Site (location) x Time	210	Error (transects x Time)
Error (transects x Time)	756	

3. RESULTS

3.1 Climatic Conditions

One of the key drivers of coral community health is the climatic conditions experienced by that community over time. Major climatic drivers of coral health include local and regional rainfall and river discharges into the nearshore environment, cyclonic conditions, other strong wind episodes and sea water temperatures. The following section deals with the climatic conditions during the present ambient monitoring period from July 2016 to August 2017 and compares these conditions to data collected since coral monitoring began in early 2006. The Pioneer River which discharges into the nearshore environment inshore from Round Top Island is used here as an indicator of local river inputs.

3.1.1 Rainfall and River Flows

The rainfall measured by the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) at the Mackay Airport (BOM 2017) is provided graphically in Figure 3-1 (upper graph). The Pioneer River discharge at Dumbleton Weir (16km from the mouth of the River) is presented using data provided by the Queensland Government Water Monitoring Information Portal (WIMP 2017) in millions of litres per day (ML/day) (Figure 3-1 lower graph).

Large sustained rainfall events typically cause large river discharges. An example is the wet season of 2010-2011, where high sustained rainfall led to large sustained discharges from the Pioneer River during the entire wet season. During this year (2011) nearly twice the mean rainfall was recorded in Mackay; 2,904mm compared to the mean rainfall of 1,536mm (BOM 2017b). Additional rainfall in the catchment areas inland from Mackay contributed to the elevated river discharges. Since then river discharges have been lower than average (Advisian 2016). Although the 2016/2017 wet season was above average in terms of rainfall (over 600mm in January and over 800mm in March) water discharges from the Pioneer River have been below average during this period (Figure 3-1).

In early January 2017 a heavy rainfall event occurred in the Mackay region with over 400mm of rain recorded at the airport over a five day period. River flows of over 45,000 ML per day were recorded at Dumbleton Weir at the height of this event. This is relatively low compared to previous rainfall events, with river flows of over 100,000 ML per day recorded on at least ten occasions throughout the past decade. The delayed 'pre-wet' survey was carried out between 17-21 January only 9 days after this rainfall event. In spite of this recent event water conditions were average to good during the survey with underwater visibility ranging from 3-10m.





Figure 3-1 Top graph shows daily rainfall (measured at the Mackay Airport), lower graph shows the Pioneer River discharge at Dumbleton Weir.

3.1.2 Cyclones

During the 2017 ambient monitoring period only one cyclone passed near Mackay: Severe Cyclone Debbie. This cyclone crossed the coast in the Whitsunday Region about 125 km north of the Hay Point region as a category 4 system with sustained winds near the centre of almost 200 km/hr and wind gusts over 250 km/hr (Figure 3-2). Sustained winds in the Hay Point region ranged from 60 to 80 km/hr for more than 50 hours during this event, with the strongest winds from the north and east sectors. Almost 500mm of rain fell at Mackay Airport during this four day event but daily river flows peaked at only 23,000 ML (Figure 3-1).



Figure 3-2 Track of Severe Tropical Cyclone Debbie.

Prior to 2017 a number of cyclones passed close to Mackay leading to strong or damaging winds and high rainfall that may have impacted the benthic communities in all the coral monitoring locations (Table 3-1). The most damaging cyclone prior to Cyclone Debbie was Severe Tropical Cyclone Ului that crossed the coast just north of Mackay on 20 March 2010. Cyclone Ului caused widespread flooding in the Mackay region and nearshore benthic communities suffered physical damage from the large waves associated with this cyclone and subsequent deleterious impacts due to a sustained reduction in ambient light due to sediment resuspension and flooding. Cyclone Ului and Cyclone Debbie appear to be the main causes of impacts to the benthic communities at the four monitoring locations over the past eleven years.

Table 3-1 Cyclones that influenced climatic conditions near Mackay since 2006

Tropical Cyclone	Date
TC Ului	20 March 2010
TC Yasi	30 January – 3 February 2011
Ex TC Oswald	25 January 2013
TC Dylan	31 January 2014

TC Ita	13 April 2014
TC Marcia	20 February 2016
TC Debbie	27-29 March 2017

3.1.3 Sea Water Temperatures

Sustained elevated water temperatures caused wide scale bleaching of coral colonies in the Northern Sectors of the Great Barrier Reef during February to May 2016 and in the Cairns to Whitsunday Region during February to March 2017. Sea temperature measurements are collected by TropWATER at a number of sites in the nearshore environment offshore from Mackay (Waltham et al 2015). The highest temperatures recorded in the Summer of 2017 (28.9°C to 30.0°C) were marginally higher than during the 2016 Summer (28.9°C to 29.2°C). Water temperatures during the August 2017 survey reached almost 22°C, at least a degree warmer than during the two previous Winter periods.

3.2 Benthic Cover During the Ambient Surveys

Macroalgae were common on these fringing reefs and were the dominant benthic group in all locations prior to Cyclone Debbie (Figure 3-3, Table 3-2). At the time of the January 2017 ambient survey, algae covered 26% and 33% of the substratum at Round Top Island and Slade Islet respectively and just over 40% at Victor Islet and Keswick Island. Algal cover was significantly lower on Round Top Island than in the other locations. Macroalgal cover had increased markedly since the previous ambient survey in May 2016, with the four location mean increasing from 27% to 35%. The genera *Sargassum* and *Lobophora* were the most abundant algal groups but a range of other species were also present including *Padina, Caulerpa* and *Halimeda*.



Figure 3-3 Changes in Benthic Composition in the Four Locations Between January and August 2017

Graphs show mean percentage benthic composition from the latest ambient survey at each location. Benthic category 'Other' = sand + bare reef + crustose corallines + algal turf.

Macroalgal cover decreased significantly during the cyclone with lots of algae torn from the substratum by extreme wave action. Mean cover reduced at all four locations but particularly at Victor and Keswick where means reduced from 40% to around 28% cover and these higher changes were enough to give a significant Time x Location interaction (Table 3-3, Figure 3-4. Algal cover had increased between the time of the partial survey in May 2017 and the full survey in August 2017 but was still well below the pre-cyclone level. Several unknown ephemeral algae have become common since Cyclone Debbie released lots of free space in March 2017, changing the algal composition somewhat. These will probably disappear as the community recovers.

Sponges were not common in any of these locations (Figure 3-3) but were most abundant on Round Top Island where the cover of this benthic group was 2.7% during the January 2017 ambient survey. (Table 3-1). The most abundant sponge was *Turpios* sp. that takes over living coral and sponge cover was relatively unaffected by Cyclone Debbie.



Figure 3-4 Changes in Percentage Cover of Macroalgae

Graphs show grand mean percentage algal cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors.

Table 3-2 Hay Point Fringing Reefs Benthic Organism Abundance During the Last Three Ambient Surveys (mean percentage cover with standard deviations)

Family/Group	January 2017		May 2017		August 2017	
	mean	***sd***	**mean**	***sd***	**mean**	***sd***
ROUND TOP						
Total algae	25.8	*8.2*			22.4	*14.2*
Total sponges	2.7	*2.5*			2.5	*2.4*
Total hard corals	23.2	*15.7*			19.7	*13.9*

Acropora spp.	1.3	*3.6*			0.6	*1.9*
Montipora spp.	4.8	*6.9*			3.5	*6.1*
Pocilloporidae	0.4	*0.7*			0.1	*0.3*
Siderasteridae	3.5	*3.1*			3.6	*3.2*
Turbinaria spp.	7.6	*6.4*			7.4	*6.8*
Faviidae	2.9	*2.1*			2.4	*1.5*
Poritidae	2.1	*2.3*			1.6	*2.1*
Total soft corals	9.8	*7.5*			6.0	*6.2*
VICTOR						
Total algae	40.0	*20.0*			27.8	*12.4*
Total sponges	0.4	*0.8*			0.4	*0.8*
Total hard corals	25.3	*28.2*			18.3	*18.2*
Acropora spp.	2.9	*5.8*			0.8	*2.2*
Montipora spp.	11.0	*21.9*			7.4	*14.5*
Pocilloporidae	1.3	*3.7*			1.0	*3.1*
Siderasteridae	2.1	*3.2*			1.7	*2.6*
Turbinaria spp.	3.1	*3.8*			2.9	*3.9*
Faviidae	3.1	*3.1*			2.7	*2.5*
Poritidae	1.2	*3.4*			1.2	*3.5*
Total soft corals	2.3	*2.7*			1.0	*1.5*
SLADE						
Total algae	33.4	*16.2*			25.0	*14.6*
Total sponges	1.4	*1.3*			1.2	*1.5*
Total hard corals	28.6	*17.6*			18.5	*12.0*
Acropora spp.	2.4	*4.2*			1.2	*2.0*
Montipora spp.	17.2	*16.3*			9.6	*9.6*
Pocilloporidae	0.7	*1.5*			0.7	*1.9*
Siderasteridae	2.4	*2.7*			2.0	*2.4*
Turbinaria spp.	1.6	*1.6*			1.5	*2.0*
Faviidae	1.6	*1.9*			1.3	*1.7*
Poritidae	1.8	*2.7*			1.7	*2.3*
Total soft corals	2.3	*2.7*			1.3	*1.6*
KESWICK						
Total algae	40.2	*12.3*	23.2	*12.1*	27.3	*13.0*
Total sponges	0.5	*0.8*	0.7	*0.8*	0.5	*0.6*
Total hard corals	31.8	*13.3*	32.8	*14.6*	31.3	*13.8*
Acropora spp.	13.7	*19.4*	14.0	*20.1*	12.1	*17.8*
Montipora spp.	6.0	*7.9*	6.4	*7.8*	6.2	*7.5*
Pocillopridae	1.0	*1.2*	0.7	*0.9*	1.0	*1.2*
Siderasteridae	0.3	*0.7*	0.3	*0.8*	0.2	*0.4*
Turbinaria spp.	0.2	*0.7*	0.2	*0.4*	0.5	*1.1*
Faviidae	1.8	*1.4*	2.8	*1.9*	2.3	*1.6*

Poritidae	5.0	*6.8*	4.8	*5.4*	5.0	*5.5*
Total soft corals	8.9	*7.7*	7.8	*5.9*	7.5	*6.4*

Figures are grand means from four 20 m transects at six sites in each location

Table 3-3 Benthic Changes Between the Three Most Recent Surveys of This Ambient Monitoring Project: Anova Results

Family/Group	Location	Site (L)	Time	L x T	S x T(L)
Total algae	***	***	***	***	***
Total hard corals	***	***	***	***	***
Acropora spp.	***	***	***	NS	***
Montipora spp.	***	***	***	***	***
Pocilloporidae	NS	**	NS	NS	NS
Siderasteridae	***	***	*	NS	NS
Turbinaria spp.	***	***	NS	NS	**
Faviidae	***	***	**	NS	NS
Poritidae	***	***	NS	NS	NS
Total soft corals	***	***	***	***	***

NS = not significant; * = 0.05>p>0.01, ** = 0.01>p>0.001; *** = p<0.001

Table 3-4 Benthic Changes Between All Fifteen Surveys of the Three Inshore Locations Since the Original Capital Dredging Baseline in April 2006: Anova Results

Family/Group	Location	Site (L)	Time	L x T	S x T(L)
Total algae	***	***	***	***	***
Total hard corals	**	***	***	***	***
Acropora spp.	NS	***	***	***	***
Montipora spp.	***	***	***	***	***
Pocilloporidae	NS	**	*	***	***
Siderasteridae	NS	**	***	NS	***
Turbinaria spp.	***	***	***	***	***
Faviidae	*	***	***	***	NS
Poritidae	NS	**	*	NS	NS
Total soft corals	***	***	***	***	***

NS = not significant; * = 0.05>p>0.01, ** = 0.01>p>0.001; *** = p<0.001

Total hard coral cover was significantly higher in the Keswick Island location than in the three inshore locations during the two 2017 ambient surveys (Table 3-3 Figure 3-5). Mean coral cover prior to the cyclone ranged from 23% on Round Top to 32% on Keswick, with 25% on Victor and 29% on Slade. Hard coral cover reduced significantly at the three inshore locations due to Cyclone Debbie but did not change on Keswick (Table 3-3, Figure 3-5) and both the Time and Time x Location factors were significant for hard coral cover. The coral cover reduction from the cyclone for these inshore locations was highest on Slade (reduction from 27% down to 19%) and lowest on Round Top (reduction from 23% down to 20%).



Figure 3-5 Changes in the Cover of Total Hard Coral

Graphs show grand mean percentage benthic cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors.

Hard coral community composition was different in each location (Figure 3-6). Following Cyclone Debbie coral communities at Round Top Island were dominated by *Turbinaria* spp. (37% of total coral cover) with siderasterids, *Montipora* spp., faviids and poritids also common. Victor Islet reefs were dominated by *Montipora* spp. corals (41% of coral cover), with *Turbinaria* spp., faviids and siderasterids also common. On Slade Islet spreading *Montipora* spp. corals accounted for 52% of all hard coral cover, with siderasterids and poritids also common. Keswick Island coral communities were dominated by *Acropora* spp. corals (39% of coral cover), with *Montipora* spp. and poritids also common. Keswick is not an inshore island and a range of species rare or absent on the inshore islands were part of the coral community here, including *Pachyseris speciosa, Lobophyllia hemprichii* and *Merulina ampliata*. Two coral groups that were important on all three inshore islands (*Turbinaria* spp. and siderasterids) were uncommon on Keswick Island. Coral composition patterns remained similar before and after the cyclone episode.



Figure 3-6 Coral Community Composition at the Four Locations for the Last Ambient Survey

Graphs show mean percentage composition of the major coral groups from the four locations. Composition is shown for the latest, post-cyclone ambient survey.

All but the pocilloporid coral group showed significant location differences during the two 2017 ambient surveys (Table 3-3). *Acropora* corals were significantly more abundant on Keswick than in the three inshore locations. *Montipora* was highest on Slade and lowest on Round Top (Figure 3-7). Both *Turbinaria* spp. and siderasterid corals were more abundant on Round Top Island and less abundant on Keswick Island than in the other two locations (Figure 3-8). Faviid corals had lower cover on Slade than in the other three locations and Keswick had higher poritid cover than the other three locations (Figure 3-9). Over the eight months spanned by the two ambient surveys Cyclone Debbie caused significant reductions in the cover of *Acropora* spp. corals, *Montipora* spp. corals, siderastreid corals and faviid corals (Figure 3-7, Figure 3-8, Figure 3-9). Although *Montipora* corals decreased in cover on the three inshore locations they did not change on Keswick and the Location x Time interaction was significant for this group (Table 3-3).



Figure 3-7 **Changes in the Cover of Coral Groups: *Acropora* Corals and *Montipora* Corals**

Graphs show grand mean percentage benthic cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.





Figure 3-8 Changes in the Cover of Coral Groups: Siderasterid Corals and *Turbinaria* Corals

Graphs show grand mean percentage benthic cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.





Figure 3-9 Changes in the Cover of Coral Groups: Faviid Corals and Poritid Corals

Graphs show grand mean percentage benthic cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.

Soft corals were significantly more abundant on Round Top Island and Keswick Island during the 2017 ambient surveys, where this group covered a mean of around 9% of the substratum, than in the other two locations (Table 3-1, Table 3-2, Figure 3-10). Soft coral cover decreased at all locations due to Cyclone Debbie but this reduction was largest on Round Top Island and both the Time and the Time x Location factors were significant.



Figure 3-10 Changes in the Cover of Total Soft Coral

Graphs show grand mean percentage benthic cover from the 2017 ambient surveys and from all previous surveys at each island location (four 20m line intersect transects surveyed at six sites for each location). Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.

3.3 Long-Term Changes in Benthic Communities at the Three Inshore Locations

Fifteen surveys spanning eleven years have been made on the three inshore locations since April 2006. Algal cover was significantly higher on Victor Islet over this period than in the other two inshore locations (Table 3-4, Figure 3-4). Although there were large fluctuations in algal cover on these inshore locations there also appears to have been an overall upward trend over the past decade, especially on Round Top Island and Slade Islet.

Similar benthic surveys for another project were carried out on Keswick Island in 2001 (Ayling and Ayling 2001). This survey looked at three sites in each of the three bays incorporated into the present ambient survey. Mean algal cover over the three bays in 2001 was 35%, a bit lower than the mean of 40% cover sixteen years later during the pre-cyclone January 2017 ambient survey but higher than the post-cyclone macroalgal cover of 27%.

There have been significant changes in the cover of hard coral over the eleven years at all three inshore locations (Table 3-4, Figure 3-5). Between the original capital dredging baseline in April 2006 and the impact of Cyclone Ului in March 2010, coral cover at all three locations only fluctuated slightly (Figure 3-5), with 23-25% cover on Victor, 32-35% on Round Top and about 40% on Slade. Damage from Cyclone Ului reduced coral cover at all three locations but the effect was greatest on Slade (from 42% down to 30%) and Round Top (36% down to 28%) compared with Victor (26% down to 23%). In the following seven years there were further reductions in coral cover on Round Top Island and Slade Islet due to disease, floods and weaker cyclone events but Victor Islet managed an increase in coral cover to a level nominally higher than during the April 2006 baseline (Figure 3-5). Category 4 Cyclone Debbie impacted all three inshore locations in March 2017 causing further coral cover reductions, especially on Slade and Victor Islets. Coral cover in these three locations is now lower than it has ever been and all have similar mean coral covers of around 18-20%.

Mean coral cover on Keswick Island during the 2001 survey mentioned above (Ayling and Ayling 2001) was 32%, the same as the coral cover of 32% recorded during the 2017 ambient surveys sixteen years later.

There have been significant changes in the cover of all major coral groups over the past eleven years (Table 3-4, Figure 3-7, Figure 3-8, Figure 3-9). The cover of *Acropora* species was significantly higher on Round Top Island than Victor and Slade until the Cyclone Ului event (Figure 3-7). The cyclone caused a large drop in *Acropora* cover on Round Top Island and there was a similar large drop in cover over the 15 months between November 2008 and February 2012 due to flood and further cyclone impacts. At the time of the March 2015 survey *Acropora* cover on Round Top Island was reduced by 85% from the pre-Ului peak and was nominally lower than the other two inshore locations. *Acropora* cover did not decline on Victor Islet and hence the Location x Time interaction was significant (Table 3-4). Acropora cover increased slightly at all three locations by January 2017 but dropped significantly as a result of Cyclone Debbie and is now at a similar very low level in all three inshore locations. Grand mean *Acropora* cover is now only a quarter of the pre-Ului peak (Figure 3-7).

The cover of *Montipora* spp. corals was significantly higher on Slade Islet compared with Victor Islet, with Round Top Island significantly lower than Victor Islet (Figure 3-7). Cover of this coral group did not change significantly on Victor Islet (about 10% cover), or on Round Top Island (about 5% cover) until the Cyclone Debbie event in March 2017. However, *Montipora* cover reduced significantly on Slade Islet following both the Cyclone Ului and Cyclone Debbie events. During the most recent survey *Montipora* cover on Slade Islet was only a third of pre-Ului levels. The decline in *Montipora* cover on Slade was much higher than in the other inshore locations giving a strongly significant Location x Time interaction for *Montipora* cover changes (Table 3-4)

There were significant fluctuations in the cover of siderasterid corals caused by disease episodes and the two major cyclone events but these patterns were similar in all three locations and the time x location interaction was not significant (Figure 3-8, Table 3-4). *Turbinaria* corals in the family Dendrophylliidae were the dominant benthic group on Round Top Island where they covered about 8% of the substratum during the most recent surveys (Figure 3-8). These corals were significantly more abundant on Round Top Island than in the other locations (Table 3-4). There have been significant reductions in *Turbinaria* cover over the eleven years covered by these surveys, caused by disease and Cyclone Ului. These reductions were greater on Round Top Island than in the other locations and the time x location interaction was significant (Table 3-4). Robust corals in the family Faviidae were moderately common at all three locations and declined significantly in abundance during the eleven years spanned by these surveys (Table 3-4, Figure 3-9). Faviid cover decreased more on Slade Islet than on the other locations so the time x location interaction was significant for this coral group (Table 3-4). Poritid corals are also robust but they too declined significantly in abundance from a grand mean of 2.2% cover to 1.5% cover over the eleven years of these surveys (Table 3-4, Figure 3-9).

Soft coral cover doubled on Round Top Island over the ten years till January 2017, giving a significant time effect, but changed very little on Slade Islet and Victor Islet so the time x location interaction was also significant (Table 3-4). There was a marked reduction in soft coral cover in all three inshore locations following Cyclone Debbie (Figure 3-10).

3.4 Coral Bleaching

Mass coral bleaching was only recorded on reefs north of Port Douglas in early 2016 but the early 2017 event affected reefs from Port Douglas south as far as the Whitsunday Islands (A.M. Ayling personal observations). Although the January 2017 ambient survey was carried out during this period only a small number of corals showed evidence of partial bleaching (pale colouration) at this time. Bleaching was highest on Round Top Island where less than 1% of corals were bleached or partially bleached (Table 3-5). Bleached corals were significantly more abundant during the mid-Winter August 2017 survey, probably due to low-light stress caused by the long period of turbid water following Cyclone Debbie. This post-cyclone bleaching was significantly higher on Round Top and Victor compared to the other two locations (Table 3-6).

Levels of partial bleaching were higher during the August 2017 ambient survey than at any time since the original baseline survey in April 2006 and the March 2015 survey (Figure 3-11. Very few soft corals were bleached during the March 2015 or August 2017 hard coral bleaching peaks whereas around 50% of soft coral colonies on Round Top showed partial bleaching in April 2006 (GHD 2006).

Table 3-5 Coral Colony Health Status During the Last Three Ambient Surveys

Location	May 2016	Jan 2017	Aug 2017
ROUND TOP			
Mean total coral colonies per 40 sq m	95	ns	ns
Healthy coral colonies (%)	99.0%	98.2%	92.3%
Partially bleached colonies (%)	0.3%	0.7%	2.2%
Disease damaged colonies (%)	0.5%	0.9%	0.1%
Sediment damaged colonies (%)	0.2%	0.2%	5.4%
VICTOR			
Mean total coral colonies per 40 sq m	98	ns	ns
Healthy coral colonies (%)	97.8%	98.6%	91.9%
Partially bleached colonies (%)	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Disease damaged colonies (%)	1.5%	1.3%	0.7%
Sediment damaged colonies (%)	0.7%	0.1%	5.7%
SLADE			
Mean total coral colonies per 40 sq m	88	ns	ns
Healthy coral colonies (%)	98.5%	98.0%	96.3%
Partially bleached colonies (%)	0.7%	0.2%	0.8%
Disease damaged colonies (%)	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%
Sediment damaged colonies (%)	0.1%	0.4%	2.7%
KESWICK			
Mean total coral colonies per 40 sq m	82	ns	ns

Location	May 2016	Jan 2017	Aug 2017
Healthy coral colonies (%)	97.4%	97.2%	96.0%
Partially bleached colonies (%)	1.5%	0.4%	0.3%
Disease damaged colonies (%)	0.9%	2.0%	1.6%
Sediment damaged colonies (%)	0.2%	0.4%	2.1%

Healthy and damaged corals are recorded as a percentage of the total number of colonies; ns=not surveyed.

Table 3-6 Hay Point Fringing Reefs: Changes in the Density of Partially Bleached, Diseased and Sediment Damaged Corals Between the Last Three Surveys: ANOVA Results

Factor:	Location	Site (L)	Time	L x T	S x T(L)
Partial bleaching changes	*	***	***	***	***
Coral disease changes	***	***	***	*	***
Sediment damage changes	***	***	***	***	***

NS = not significant; * = 0.05>p>0.01, ** = 0.01>p>0.001; *** = p<0.001



Figure 3-11 Changes in Density of Bleached and Partially Bleached Hard Coral Colonies

Graphs show grand mean density of bleached and partially bleached corals per 40sq m from six sites of four 20 x 2m transects in each location from the 2017 ambient surveys and all previous surveys. Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.

3.5 Sediment Deposition on Coral Colonies

Many corals on fringing reefs have some sediment on their surface as a result of natural sediment resuspension and movement during strong winds and/or spring tides. Port related activities such as dredging also have the potential to contribute to sediment in the water column but no port related activities of this sort occurred during the 2016/17 period. During the 2017 ambient surveys a grand mean of around 25% of coral colonies had recordable sediment on at least part of their surface (Table 3-7). The percentage of colonies with surface sediment during these ambient surveys was highest on Victor Islet and lowest on Keswick Island. Mean depth of these patches of sediment on living coral colonies showed a similar pattern (Table 3-7), being highest on Victor and Round Top and lowest on Keswick. Overall sediment depths on corals were significantly higher during the post-Cyclone Debbie ambient survey than in the May 2016 survey (Table 3-7, Table 3-8). Coral sediment depth increased markedly on Victor Islet and Round Top Island following Cyclone Debbie but decreased on Slade Islet and Keswick Island and hence the Location x Time interaction was significant (Table 3-8).

Both the number of corals with sediment load and the depth of sediment on the corals, increased rapidly on Round Top Island and Victor Islet after the commencement of the 2006 capital dredging program (Figure 3-12). On the Slade Islet location reefs the number of corals with sediment and the depth of sediment was significantly lower than on Round Top Island and Victor Islet during the capital dredging (GHD 2006). Sediment loads on corals increased again at all inshore locations during the Cyclone Ului event, but had reduced close to baseline levels by the November 2010 survey (Figure 3-12). Sediment levels on corals and the percentage of corals with sediment had increased again at all locations at the time of the March 2015 survey and were within the range of levels recorded during the 2006 capital dredging (Figure 3-12). Sediment levels on corals again increased following Cyclone Debbie in March 2017 but not to the highs experienced during the capital dredging or following Cyclone Ului (Figure 3-12).

Table 3-7 Changes in Frequency and Depth of Sediment Load on Corals Over the Three Most Recent Survey Events

Location:	Round Top Is.		Victor Is.		Slade Is.		Keswick Is.	
PERCENT OF TOTAL COLONIES WITH SEDIMENT LOAD								
May 2016	21.5%		30.2%		8.5%		17.3%	
Jan 2017	20.4%		35.6%		32.1%		19.2%	
Aug 2017	36.3%		30.4%		13.8%		12.1%	
MEAN MAXIMUM SEDIMENT DEPTH (mm)								
May 2016	0.17	*0.53*	0.40	*0.94*	0.05	*0.20*	0.13	*0.35*
Jan 2017	0.17	*0.42*	0.28	*0.53*	0.33	*0.67*	0.22	*0.74*
Aug 2017	0.56	*1.61*	0.44	*1.22*	0.12	*0.37*	0.16	*0.78*

Figures are grand mean sediment depth in mm with standard deviations in italics where appropriate.

Table 3-8 Hay Point Fringing Reefs: Changes in Sediment Depth on Corals Between the Last Three Surveys: Anova Results

Factor:	Location	Site (L)	Time	L x T	S x T(L)
Coral sediment changes	**	***	***	***	***

NS = not significant; * = 0.05>p>0.01, ** = 0.01>p>0.001; *** = p<0.001



Figure 3-12 Changes in Number of Corals with Sediment Load and Sediment Depth

Graphs show percentage of the 480 coral colonies examined in each location that had measurable sediment on part of the surface during each survey and the mean depth in mm of that sediment for the 2017 ambient surveys and for all previous surveys. Error bars where appropriate are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island

3.6 Sediment Damage and Disease in Coral Colonies

Heavy sediment deposition on living coral can cause patches of mortality on the coral surface. During the January 2017 ambient survey levels of sediment damaged corals were lower in all locations than at any time since the early 2006 baseline but mortality increased dramatically following Cyclone Debbie to the highest levels seen in all locations. Over 5% of coral colonies on Round Top and Victor showed patches of sediment damage following the cyclone (Table 3-5). These high sediment damage levels may have been due to the extended period of high turbidity/high sedimentation following the cyclone. Levels of sediment induced mortality were significantly higher after the cyclone on Round Top and Victor than in the other two locations following the trend of sediment depth and rate (Table 3-6, Figure 3-13).

The number of sediment damaged corals on the three inshore reefs reached a peak during the 2006 capital dredging event on both Round Top and Victor (Figure 3-13). There was another much smaller peak in damage levels during the 2008 bed-levelling event. Flood and cyclone events during 2011 increased sediment damage at Victor Islet to near capital-dredging levels and caused unprecedented damage on Slade Islet reefs (Figure 3-13). During the four ambient surveys between March 2015 and January 2017 the levels of coral sediment damage were much lower than during most of the last eleven years but this was ended by sediment resuspension during Cyclone Debbie with damage at all locations and unprecedented damage at Round Top Island (Figure 3-13).

A small number of diseased corals are present in most coral reef communities. The coral groups most often affected by disease in the Hay Point region were *Acropora, Montipora,* and *Turbinaria* but massive faviid, siderastreid and poritid corals were also sometimes damaged by disease. Disease levels were about average during the 2017 ambient surveys with a grand mean of about 1% of corals affected (Table 3-5). There were significantly more diseased corals in the Keswick and Victor locations than in the other two locations during the 2017 ambient surveys (Table 3-6). Disease levels during the mid-Summer January 2017 ambient survey were significantly higher than during the post-cyclone survey (Figure 3-13). Changes in disease levels were greater on Slade and Round Top than in the other two locations and the Location x Time interaction was also significant (Table 3-6).

There were significant fluctuations in the density of diseased corals over the eleven years spanned by the surveys reported here, with order of magnitude changes at each location (Figure 3-13). Disease affected corals were present at all four locations and there were no overall trends in abundance at any location (Figure 3-13). Small colonies sometimes died completely when affected by disease but usually disease only caused partial colony mortality.



Figure 3-13 Changes in Density of Sediment Damaged and Diseased Coral Colonies

Graphs show grand mean density of diseased coral colonies and sediment damaged corals per 40sq m from six sites of four 20 x 2m transects in each location from the 2017 ambient surveys and all previous surveys. Error bars are standard errors. Roundtop = Round Top Island.

3.7 Coral Demography Patterns

Hard coral recruits less than 10 cm in diameter were common on these reefs during the 2017 ambient surveys with a grand mean over all locations of about 1.3 per square metre (Figure 3-14). This is similar to means ranging from 0.7 to 1.8 per sq m from surveys of other fringing reef areas using the same method (A.M. Ayling unpublished data). Recruit numbers were significantly higher on Round Top and Slade than on Victor and Keswick (Table 3-9). As would be expected there was a significant decrease in recruit numbers caused by Cyclone Debbie (Table 3-9, Figure 3-14). The dominant coral group represented in the recruit population for the three inshore locations was dendrophyllid corals in the genus *Turbinaria*. This group accounted for between 60% and 80% of total coral recruits in these locations (Figure 3-15). Faviids and pocilloporids also recruited well on these inshore locations. The recruit population on the offshore Keswick Island was different, with faviids accounting for over 30% of recruits and *Acropora* (13%) and pocilloporids (9%) also important. Other corals that were rare on the inshore reefs were also important as recruits on Keswick Island. This group included species such as *Pachyseris speciosa, Lobophyllia hemprichii* and *Merulina ampliata*.

Table 3-9 Hay Point Fringing Reefs: Patterns in the Density of Hard Coral Recruits Between the Last Three Surveys: ANOVA Results

Factor:	Location	Time	L x T
Hard coral recruits	*	**	NS

NS = not significant; * = 0.05>p>0.01, ** = 0.01>p>0.001; *** = p<0.001



Figure 3-14 Changes in Density of Hard Coral Recruits Over the Ambient Surveys

Graphs show mean density of hard coral recruits per sq m from six sites in each location for the past five ambient surveys. Error bars are standard errors.



Figure 3-15 Composition of the Hard Coral Recruit Population in the Four Locations Over the Ambient Surveys

Graphs show mean percentage composition of the major groups of coral recruits from the four locations. Composition is averaged across both 2017 ambient surveys.

3.8 Benthic Community Images

Examples of the benthic community structure at each site and examples of coral health impacts are provided in Figure 3-16 to Figure 3-37.



Figure 3-16 *Turbinaria* spp. corals were dominant at Round Top Island (Site 5) and gorgonians (left and right) and other soft corals were more abundant than in the other locations



Figure 3-17 *Sarcophyton* soft coral (upper right) and a variety of *Turbinaria* spp. corals on Round Top Island Site 4 during the August 2017 survey.

Figure 3-18 Although *Acropora* corals had decreased on Round Top Island they were still common at some sites during the Jan 2017 pre-cyclone survey (Site 1).

Figure 3-19 The *Acropora* thickets at Round Top Site 1 were badly damaged by Cyclone Debbie.



Figure 3-20 Encrusting *Montipora* corals with paler patches of surface sediment (lower right) at Round Top Site 4 during the post cyclone survey.



Figure 3-21 There had been extensive encroachment of fine sediment at Round Top Island Site 2 during Cyclone Debbie and growth of ephemeral algae (lower centre and upper left).

Figure 3-22 Healthy corals at Victor Islet Site 5 at the time of the Aug 2017 ambient survey. *Pocillopora* (centre), *Acropora* (lower left) and *Montipora* corals (upper left).



Figure 3-23 Faviid corals (red colony on left), siderastreid corals (centre right) and *Montipora* (right) at Victor Site 4.



Figure 3-24 Surface sediment and disease (grey-white patches) on encrusting *Montipora* coral at Victor Islet Site 2 in August 2017.



Figure 3-25 Disease had killed a number of *Acropora* colonies at Victor Islet Site 2 following Cyclone Debbie.

Figure 3-26 Cyclone damaged *Acropora* staghorn colony on Victor Islet (Site 2).



Figure 3-27 Extensive Cyclone Debbie habitat disturbance with removal of *Sargassum* algae and growth of ephemeral algae on the north side of Victor Islet (Site 1).



Figure 3-28 ***Turbinaria*** **coral (centre right),** ***Pocillopora*** **(upper left, bottom centre),** ***Montipora*** **(lower left) and** ***Psammocora*** **(greenish coral on left) on the SW face of Slade Islet (Site 2).**



Figure 3-29 NE face of Slade Islet coral communities were dominated by encrusting ***Montipora*** **corals. Many had survived the damage caused by Cyclone Debbie (Site 5).**

Figure 3-30 Large areas of reef on the NE face of Slade Islet had been stripped of coral cover and were covered by yellow-brown ephemeral algae (lower left) after Cyclone Debbie (Site 3).

Figure 3-31 Staghorn *Acropora* corals and *Montipora* corals (background) recovering from damage caused by Cyclone Debbie on Slade Islet (Site 4).



Figure 3-32 Healthy *Montipora* whorl corals at Site 5 on Keswick Island.

Figure 3-33 *Acropora* staghorn corals dominated the benthic community in Homestead Bay, on St Bees Island immediately adjacent to Keswick Island (Site 4) in August 2017.

Figure 3-34 Healthy *Goniopora* colony (Family Poritidae) on Keswick Island (Site 2) that was undamaged by Cyclone Debbie.



Figure 3-35 Large group of *Sarcophyton* soft corals on Keswick Island Site 1. Soft corals covered almost 8% of the substratum in this location.

Figure 3-36 *Montipora* colony on Keswick Island (Site 5) with a thick patch of sediment on the surface. Note the grey disease patch beneath the sediment (centre right).



Figure 3-37 Large group of *Drupella* coral-grazing snails amongst dead staghorn corals on Keswick Island (St Bees) Site 3.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Benthic Cover During the 2017 Ambient Surveys

The major changes recorded during the 2017 ambient surveys covered in this report were the impacts associated with severe Tropical Cyclone Debbie. All major benthic groups were affected by this event with some substantial reductions recorded. Algal cover reduced significantly at all locations with a grand mean relative change of 26%. Reduction in algal cover was highest on Keswick Island and Victor Islet where pre-cyclone algal cover was highest and both these locations recorded an over 30% reduction.

Most major hard coral groups recorded significant reductions following the cyclone with an overall 20% reduction in total hard coral cover. However, total hard coral cover did not change on Keswick Island where four of the six sites were very protected from the cyclonic winds and the other two were partially protected. At the three inshore locations hard corals were strongly impacted by Cyclone Debbie, especially on north-facing sites. Two sites were badly damaged on Round Top Island, four on Victor and three on Slade. Hard coral cover reduced by a relative 15% on Round Top, 28% on Victor and 35% on Slade. These changes are more severe than any other impact recorded during the eleven years benthic communities have been monitored in this region.

Soft coral cover was also impacted significantly as a result of Cyclone Debbie, with an overall 32% relative reduction in cover following the cyclone. This impact was most severe on Round Top and Keswick where soft corals were most abundant. Soft corals on Round Top after the cyclone were down by 40% on pre-cyclone cover. These changes were far larger than any previous impact on soft coral cover recorded over the past eleven years.

4.2 Long Term Benthic Cover Changes

Algal cover on the survey locations over the past two years has been higher than at any other time during the past 15 years and is a worrying sign of a possible shift toward more algal dominated reef communities, especially on the inshore locations. Although algal cover was significantly reduced by Cyclone Debbie cover of this benthic group is still significantly higher than during the 2006 baseline survey. This may hinder recovery of coral cover on the three inshore locations that were most affected by Cyclone Ului and Cyclone Debbie.

Benthic communities on these three locations remained relatively stable over the course of the 2006 capital dredging and 2008 bed levelling operations. After an initial slight decrease in coral cover caused by a coral disease outbreak, coral cover increased slightly due to natural growth. Following this period of stability tropical Cyclone Ului had a marked impact on all three inshore study locations when it crossed the coast near the Whitsunday Islands in March 2010. The cover of most major coral groups was significantly reduced, along with the cover of algal populations. Note that the majority of damage/cover reduction caused by cyclone events is from water movement physical breakage or colony removal rather than from sedimentation increases. This impact was most severe on the northeast face sites of Slade Islet where coral cover was reduced by 34%, however Cyclone Ului also reduced cover on Round Top reefs by a mean of 21% and caused an 11% reduction on Victor Islet reefs. Corals were broken up, torn off, turned over or smothered with large quantities of sand and rubble. Cyclone damage usually stimulates growth in many coral species (Ayling and Ayling 2005) and many of the broken or damaged corals had begun to recover only three months after the event. Flood and moderate cyclone events during 2011 caused further damage to reefs on Slade Islet and Round Top Island but did not affect Victor Islet. Hard corals on the inshore islands suffered another major coral cover reduction during Cyclone Debbie in March 2017. Although the August 2017 surveys were conducted 4 months after Cyclone Debbie there had not been any strong post-physical-damage coral recovery, possibly due to the extended turbid period following the cyclone. Overall coral cover on these locations has dropped to almost half of the pre-Ului peak: from a grand mean of 35% down to 19%. As would be expected the more fragile coral groups *Acropora, Montipora* and *Turbinaria* were most impacted by these events.

The extent of cyclone damage at each location seemed to be related to the aspect and potential wave fetch of the survey sites; as would be expected damage was highest at sites that were most exposed to the east and the north where the strongest winds originated. Although wind speeds during cyclone Debbie only ranged from 60-80 km/hr the extended time period of the event meant that the damage was equivalent to more intense but shorter duration storms such as Ului.

The Keswick Island reefs were not monitored over the period of pre-2017 cyclonic impacts but comparison of changes in the four sites that were common between the 2006 capital dredging monitoring program and the present ambient monitoring program suggests that corals in this location had already recovered to more than pre-cyclone levels by early 2015. Coral cover on Keswick was also not affected by the recent Cyclone Debbie event because all the sites have relatively low wave fetch in most winds. Comparison of coral cover on Keswick from a 2001 survey also conducted by Sea Research that used similar sites to the present ambient survey suggests that there has been little change in coral cover over the past sixteen years in this location.

The significant increase in soft coral cover at Round Top Island over the ten years to May 2016 appears to have been due to the natural growth of Sarcophyton and *Sansibia* colonies. Soft coral cover did not increase at the other three locations over the same period and the reason for the increase on Round Top is not known. Most of this increase has been wiped out by Cyclone Debbie and soft coral cover at the other three locations is now lower than during the 2006 baseline.

The major driver of change on these fringing reefs appears to be sporadic cyclone events. Five cyclones have impacted this region over the past eleven years: category 3 Ului in 2010, category 1 Dylan, Ita and Nathan in 2011, 2013 and 2014 respectively and category 4 Debbie in 2017. Although corals begin to recover slowly between these events the overall trend has been downward over this period. Combined with the upward trend in macroalgal cover that has happened at the same time, reef communities in this region have been changed markedly in a relatively short time period. Although the algal increase may partially reflect the decrease in coral cover (more space available for macroalgae) this increase is greater than the coral cover decrease. These changes are apparently largely due to natural causes but it could be argued that they are partly due to nutrient increases and global warming that are human related. Unless rates of coral recovery improve over what has previously been measured during inter-cyclone periods in this region, or cyclone events become less frequent, it is unlikely that these inshore locations will regain baseline coral condition in the near future. Given best case recovery figures from the period 2006-2008, and no further damage, it will take about three years for Victor to recover to pre-Ului coral cover, four years for Round Top and over five years for Slade.

4.3 Coral Bleaching

Levels of partial coral bleaching during the latest mid-Winter ambient survey were higher than at any time since the April 2006 baseline survey and the March 2015 survey. This recent bleaching event only affected less than 3% of coral colonies and was unusual in that it happened when water temperatures were low. It is likely that low-light stress caused by the turbid water that surrounded these location for several months following Cyclone Debbie was responsible for this bleaching event. A small number of corals were totally bleached during this event and some partial colony mortality was observed. As has already been mentioned the damaging El Nino events of early 2016 and early 2017 affected reefs north of the Mackay region and did not cause any except very minor partial bleaching of very low numbers of coral colonies in these locations. Coral bleaching has caused minimal coral mortality throughout the eleven years covered by surveys in this region.

4.4 Sedimentation and Coral Damage

Corals on fringing reefs must deal with heavy sedimentation as part of normal environmental conditions. Inshore waters become very turbid from resuspended sediment during any strong wind event and this sediment settles on all fringing reef corals. These corals are able actively to remove surface sediment unless rates remain very high for long periods. It takes extreme events like cyclones or prolonged rough weather to overwhelm coral colonies natural sediment removal mechanisms. These mechanisms may also be overwhelmed during prolonged dredging operations such as the 9 million cubic metre 2006 capital dredging program. In these cases sediment may accumulate in depressions on the surface of vulnerable coral colonies and eventually cause small patches of mortality. Such dead patches occur naturally on most fringing reefs and are usually repaired, once sediment levels decrease, by regrowth from the edges of the damaged patch.

Cyclone events have caused partial damage of up to 5% of coral colonies in this region on several occasions over the past decade but the actual decrease in coral cover due to such sediment damage has been much less than 1%. The 2006 capital dredging program caused similar levels of sediment damage to corals and also resulted in a coral cover reduction of much less than 1%. The maintenance dredging for Port of Mackay in 2013 coincided with a strong wind event and sedimentation caused significant damage to encrusting *Montipora* corals on the NE face of Slade Islet. During the post-dredging survey over 60% or coral colonies had surface sediment around Slade Islet with mean sediment depth of about 1mm. This event only resulted in a reduction of *Montipora* cover from 18.1% to 16.6% and is the only significant sediment damage to corals we have ever recorded (Ports and Coastal Environmental 2013).

The actual sources of the sediment impacting these benthic communities are likely to be both local and regional and may include:

- Sediments from local and regional riverine inputs (Pioneer River, Plane Creek) into the nearshore environment every year during the wet season.
- Sediment remobilised during the daily tidal cycle (especially during spring tides) travelling up the coastline with the prevailing currents and winds from Broadsound in the south.
- Re-suspended sediments due to the macrotidal environment at Mackay.
- Potential remobilisation of sediments from the nearby existing spoil grounds.
- Mobilisation of sediments due to dredge or bed levelling operations.

The level of sediment damage to hard coral colonies caused by major cyclone events such as Ului and Debbie is comparable or greater than that caused by large port-related activities such as capital dredging but this damage is orders of magnitude less than the physical damage caused to benthic communities by wave action during these cyclone events.

4.5 Mortality and Coral Disease

Levels of coral disease during the 2017 ambient surveys were relatively high but were in line with levels recorded over the eleven years spanned by the long term surveys. Less than 1% of hard corals were affected by disease on these locations at any one time and trends have been down or flat over this eleven year period. Diseased corals are often present on fringing reefs especially during the warmer summer months and rarely cause significant coral mortality (Ayling and Ayling 2005). There is no evidence that stress caused by past dredging operations has increased the susceptibility of corals in this region to disease outbreaks.

4.6 Implications of Coral Assessment

Recent natural cyclonic impacts have reduced coral cover significantly on all three inshore island reefs and coral cover is now similar at these locations. Coral cover has changed least at Victor Islet during these events in spite of this location being the most affected by dredging operations over the past eleven years. This suggests that although many coral colonies on the protected back sites at Victor Islet are still recovering from previous sediment damage the coral communities are still resilient enough to deal with continued natural impacts. Coral cover impacts have been greatest on Slade Islet where potential dredge impacts were limited to the small Port of Mackay program in 2013. Slade Islet was the most impacted of the inshore locations by both major cyclone events. The Round Top Island sites were on average deeper than the other locations but cyclone damage was higher than any location except Slade Islet.

5. REFERENCES

Advisian 2016. Port of Mackay and Hay Point Ambient Coral Monitoring: March 2015 – May 2016. Prepared on behalf of Ports Corporation of Queensland.

AIMS (2008). Australian Institute of Marine Science Standard Operational Procedure Number 10 - Surveys of Benthic Reef Communities Using Underwater Digital Photography and Counts of Juvenile Corals.

Ayling A.M. and Ayling A.L. 1995. A preliminary survey of benthic communities on fringing reefs in the middle Cairns Section. Unpublished report submitted to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority.

Ayling A.M. and Ayling A. L. 1997. The effect of the Daintree River flood plume on Snapper Island coral reefs. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority Research Publication No. 53. Townsville, Queensland, Australia.

Ayling A.M. and Ayling A.L. 2002. Long term monitoring program for marine benthos in the vicinity of Keswick Island development (Whitsunday Island Group): baseline survey, Unpublished report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. 18 pp.

Ayling A.M. and Ayling A.L. 2005. The Dynamics of Cairns and Central Section Fringing Reefs, Unpublished report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. 81 pp.

Berkelmans R. and Oliver J.K. 1999. Large-scale bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs. 18: 55-60.

BOM (2017a) Daily rainfall measured at the Mackay Airport (Station number 033045) http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/weatherData/av?p_nccObsCode=136&p_display_type=dailyDataFile&p_startYear=&p_c=&p_stn_num=033045 – Accessed online 15 August 2017.

BOM (2016c). Information on Severe Tropical Cyclone Debbie. http://www.bom.gov.au/cyclone/history/debbie.shtml - Accessed online 15 August 2017.

Diaz-Pulido, G. and McCook, L. (2008). *Macroalge (Seaweeds)* in Chin. A, (ed) *The state of the Great Barrier Reef On-line*, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville. Viewed on 22 August 2017, http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0019/3970/SORR_Macroalgae.pdf

GHD 2006. Port of Hay Point Apron Areas and Departure Path Capital Dredging Environmental Management Plan. Prepared on behalf of Ports Corporation of Queensland.

GHD 2007. Port of Hay Point Capital Dredging Environmental Monitoring Program: Six Month Post Dredging Coral Report. Prepared on behalf of Ports Corporation of Queensland.

GBRMPA (2016a). Results of the coral bleaching surveys February – June 2016. Available online at: http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0009/246384/GD-2016-0040-BleachingStatus-AsAt13-June-2016.pdf

GBRMPA (2016b) Observed coral mortality due to bleaching as of 13 June 2016: available online at: http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/247931/GBR-Coral-Mortality-13-June-2016.pdf

Ports and Coastal Environmental (2013). Port of Mackay Dredging 2013: Coral Monitoring Program. Prepared on behalf of North Queensland Bulk Ports.

Waltham, N., McKenna, S., York, P., Devlin, M., Campbell, S., Rasheed, M., Da Silva, E., Petus, C., Ridd, P., 2015. Port of Mackay and Hay Point Ambient Marine Water Quality Monitoring Program (July 2014 to July 2015), Centre for Tropical Water and Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER) Publication 15/16, James Cook University, Townsville, 96 pp.

WIMP (2016) Queensland Government Water Monitoring Information Portal for The Pioneer River at Dumbelton Weir (Station Id: 125016A) - https://water-monitoring.information.qld.gov.au/ - Assessed online 29 August 2017.

Mapstone D.D. Choat J.H, Cumming R.L. and Oxley W.G. 1989. The fringing reefs of Magnetic Island: Benthic biota and sedimentation – a baseline survey. Unpublished report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. 88pp.

WorleyParsons (2009) Coral Surveys – Port of Hay Point Pre Dredging and Bed Levelling Report. Prepared on behalf of Ports Corporation of Queensland.

WorleyParsons (2010). Coral Surveys – Port of Hay Point Post Dredging and Bed Levelling. Prepared on behalf of North Queensland Bulk Ports Corporation.